

PGR-3b Form

	8	11
Student Name:		Student ID Number:
Faculty:	Department/School:	
		Appeal Panel date:
Nomination of Exami	ner 1:	
Name:		Dept
Nomination of Exami	ner 2:	
Name:		Dept
Chairperson of the Aj	ppeal Panel: (Associate VP D	octoral College or their Nominee)
		Dept
Approved by Head of		
Name:	Signature:	Date:
Approved by Associat	te Vice President Doctoral Co	ollege:
Name:	Signature:	Date:

Research Progression Appeal Panel

The candidate has given a seminar on his/her work, which was attended by the two faculty members named below, who acted as examiners and agree that:

The student's research progress is of a sufficiently high standard to warrant continuation on the master's or PhD register as applicable.		(G)
The student's research progress on the master's register is of a sufficiently high standard to warrant transfer to the PhD register.		(G)
The student's research progress on the PhD Register is unsatisfactory and the student's enrolment should revert to the masters Register.		(T)
The student's research progression is not satisfactory and the student's enrolment on the master's or PhD Register, as applicable should be discontinued.		(W)

Note: Where a candidate does not attend the Research Progression Appeal Panel, the original decision of the Research Confirmation Panel shall be upheld, and the appropriate decision must be submitted to Academic Registry.



Research Progression Appeal Panel

Signature of Examiner 1:	Date:
Signature of Examiner 2:	Date:
Signature of Chairperson:	Date:
Signature of Assistant Dean Research:	Date:

Prior to submitting the completed and signed form **to the Academic Registry, via** (<u>Academic Registry via Topdesk</u>), the Chairperson of the panel must ensure that a short-written report outlining feedback on both the strengths and areas for consideration within the research is completed on a separate research progression appeal panel report form (PGR3b.1).

Please tick the box to confirm that the research progression appeal panel report form (PGR3b.1) has been issued to both the student and supervisor(s) immediately following the research progression appeal panel review.

ⁱ In instances where the Supervisor is also the Head of Dept, the Faculty Dean should approve the Examiners for the Research Progression Appeal Panel.